

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**ZACHARIA STEWART,**

**Petitioner,**

**v.**

**CASE NO. 2:18-CV-702  
Judge George C. Smith  
Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz**

**WARDEN, NOBLE  
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION,**

**Respondent.**

**ORDER**

On May 23, 2019, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* (“R&R”) recommending that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus be dismissed. (ECF No. 9). Although the parties were advised of the right to file objections to the R&R, and of the consequences of failing to do so, no objections have been filed. Therefore, the R&R (ECF No. 9) is **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**. This action is hereby **DISMISSED**.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) and Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, the Court must determine whether to issue a certificate of appealability. Because Petitioner has waived the right to file an appeal by failing to file objections to the R&R, *see Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 147 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F. 2d 947, 950 (6th Cir. 1981), the Court **DECLINES** to issue a certificate of appealability. The Court also **CERTIFIES** pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24, that an appeal would not be in good faith and that any application to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal would be **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*s/ George C. Smith*  
**GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**